

Interview Summary

Application No. 09/902,266

Applicant(s)

De LACHARRIERE et al.

Examiner

Art Unit

	Michele Flood	1654	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Michele Flood	(3)		·
(2) Martin Bruehs			
Date of Interview Mar 27, 2003	-		
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) 🛛 applicant's representative	1	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \)	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief description	on:	
		÷	
Claim(s) discussed: All in detail.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Identification of prior art discussed: All in detail, especially Drug Launches, Kronnie, and Cauw	enberah.	,	:
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments: <u>Discussed amending claims to more particularly and fully camendments would obviate the 112, 2nd paragraph rejects</u>	lefine the instantly claimed comp	osition. Such	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	copy of the amendments that wo	ed would rendo ould render the	er the claims claims allowable is
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FRO SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	IAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LA PEP section 713.04). If a reply to DM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO F	ST OFFICE AC the last Office ILE A STATEN	TION MUST e action has MENT OF THE

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required